

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/914,566	6 12/18/2001		Markus Nieslony	R.35956	8321
2119	7590	12/15/2003		EXAMINER	
RONALD		-	GUSHI, ROSS N		
GREIGG & 1423 POWH		P.L.L.C. `REET, UNIT ONE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXAND		,	2833		

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				ew/					
		Application No.	Applicant(s)						
		09/914,566	NIESLONY, MA	NIESLONY, MARKUS					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
		Ross N. Gushi	2833						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
	, •	V IO CET TO EVOID	E AMONTHIO) EDOM						
THE   - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, y within the statutory minimun will apply and will expire SIX (s, cause the application to bec	may a reply be timely filed  n of thirty (30) days will be considered tin 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
	Panagaive to communication(a) filed on 20 (	Ootobor 2002							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 (								
2a)⊠	,	is action is non-final.		the medite is					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa- closed in accordance with the practice under			the merits is					
Dispositi	ion of Claims	•							
•	Claim(s) <u>8-15</u> is/are pending in the application								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideratio	n.						
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>10-15</u> is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>8 and 9</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requireme	nt.						
	ion Papers								
	The specification is objected to by the Examine								
10)[]	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce			- \					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
, —	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	ion miles							
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 H	S.C. 8.119(a)-(d) or (f)						
,—		r priority under 60 G.	0.0. 3 1 10(a) (a) of (f).						
u) <sub>1</sub>	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been receive	h						
	Certified copies of the priority document								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			al Stage					
* 5	application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2	2(a)).	a. o.ago					
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provision	nal application).					
	) $\square$ The translation of the foreign language proAcknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	• •							
Attachmen	t(s)								
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) 🔲 No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper tice of Informal Patent Application ( ner:						

Art Unit: 2833

## **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Regarding claim 8, the limitation of "said housing part being sufficiently stiff to withstand an overpressure . . . ." is indefinite. What would be an "overpressure?" If an overpressure is a pressure that would break the housing, then the limitation is an oxymoron (overpressure would by definition break the housing). If an overpressure is a pressure that does not break the housing, then it is not an overpressure. The limitation is treated as meaning that the housing is able to withstand the pressure of the introduced potting.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shimarak et al. ("Shimarak"). Shimarak discloses a contact protection housing 30 for at least one

Art Unit: 2833

terminal 40 which is mounted on a component 20 and in which an opening (see col. Lines 35-40 and figure 4) for introducing potting composition 70 is made, the housing part comprising a thin walled cap whose edge 30a rests on the component 20 by initial tension, the housing being able to withstand the pressure of the potting composition.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/29/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Regarding the limitations regarding the "overpressures," the Shimarak device is capable of withstanding a certain potting pressure, just as applicant's invention is. Applicant argues that the Shimarak device cannot withstand "overpressure" while Applicant's device can. Applicant seems to be making an arbitrary and discriminatory decision of what pressure is "overpressure." Applicant uses "overpressure" to describe in Shimarak a pressure that would break the housing while using "overpressure" as applied to applicant's device as a pressure that would not break the housing.

Regarding whether the Shimarak device is a "cap," the examiner maintains that it is "something that serves as a cover or protection." Merriam Webster's Collegiate Dictionary, 10th ed. 1998. Regarding whether the diaphragm edge rests on the component by "initial tension," clearly as shown in figure 2A, it does.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 9 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the

Application/Control Number: 09/914,566 Page 4

Art Unit: 2833

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims for the reasons previously indicated. Claims 10-15 are allowable for the reasons previously indicated.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ross Gushi whose telephone number is (703) 306-4508. If attempts to reach the examiner by phone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula A. Bradley, can be reached at (703) 308-2319. The phone number for the Group's facsimile is (703) 308-7766

rng

- In am